SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
CARLOS TRICOCHE,	
Plaintiff v. METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY,	17 Civ. JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
DefendantX	

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIRT

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, Flynn & Wietzke, PC, complains of the Defendant and alleges:

THE PARTIES

- 1. The plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Orange, and City of New Windsor.
- 2. The defendant is a railroad carrier corporation providing railroad transportation, in interstate commerce by rail and operates a railroad system and railroad yards within the jurisdiction of this Court and in various other States, with a usual place of business in New York.
- 3. Prior to November 19, 2014, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant employed the plaintiff as a Police Officer under its direction, supervision and control and in furtherance of defendant's business in interstate commerce.
- 4. Prior to November 19, 2014 and at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant maintained, operated and controlled the Mt. Vernon East Train Station, Mount Vernon, New York which contained defendant's tracks, rails, switches, sidings, roadbeds and appurtenances thereto, over, through and upon which the defendant operated engines, trains and cars under its control and direction.
- 5. During all times herein mentioned, the defendant was and is engaged in interstate commerce by providing railroad transportation among multiple states.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The plaintiff brings the First Cause of Action against the defendant for violation of the Federal Employers' liability Act, 45 U.S.C. §51 et seq. (FELA).
 - 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this case pursuant to 45 U.S.C. §56.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, because defendant resides in this District and/or because defendant does business in this District.

FACTS

- 9. At the time of the defendant's FELA violations, the plaintiff was employed by the defendant and qualified as an employee within the meaning of 45 U.S.C. § 51.
- 10. On November 19, 2014, the plaintiff was working as a Police Officer at the direction and training of defendant, when plaintiff was responding to a dispatch call of a busted water pipe flooding the platform and freezing; plaintiff was in the process of securing the area when he slipped and fell on the ice injuring his left arm and his left shoulder.
- 11. Defendant's conduct, more specifically set forth below, caused, in whole or in part, the plaintiff to suffer various physical, psychological and economic harms.
 - 12. Plaintiff's injuries include, but are not limited to, tear to left supra-spinatus tendon.

COUNT I Violation of FELA

- 13. The plaintiff adopts by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth under this cause of action.
- 14. This Cause of Action is brought under the Federal Employers' Liability Act, (45 U.S.C. Sec. 51 et seq.).

15. On or about November 19, 2014, while the plaintiff, an employee of the defendant, was

in the performance of his duties as a Police Officer at the Mt. Vernon East Train Station, Mt. Vernon,

New York, the defendant, its agents, servants and employees, so negligently and carelessly conducted

themselves toward the plaintiff:

a. in failing to provide plaintiff with a reasonably safe place to work;

in failing to warn plaintiff of slipper condition;

in failing to provide access to the shut-off valve room:

in failing to protect pipe from the cold temperatures;

in failing to inspect and maintain pipes;

in failing to maintain plaintiff's work place; and, so negligently failed and neglected

to enact and enforce safety rules, regulations, procedures, and practices for activities

carried out by its personnel at the said place, that all of the foregoing brought about

severe and disabling injuries to plaintiff, as set forth above.

16. The relevant injuries occurred while the plaintiff was acting in the furtherance of

interstate commerce or in work closely or substantially affecting the same.

17. The plaintiff was damaged thereby in the sum of \$500,000.00.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant on Count I in the sum of FIVE

HUNDRED THOUSAND (\$500,000.00) DOLLARS; together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Respectfully submitted

MARC WIETZKE

FLYNN & WIETZKE, PC

1205 Franklin Avenue

Garden City, NY 11530

Tel.: (516) 877-1234

E-mail: MWietzke@felaattorney.com

3